

# MEETS Security

CirQlive's Media Enhanced Education & Training Suite (MEETS) is designed by a team of security professionals, each with more than a decade of experience in cryptography, computer and web security. The CirQlive team has contributed security implementations, improvements, and other enhancements to major software libraries, essential to nearly every modern computing platform in use today, for example, [cURL](#) and [Qt](#)'s authentication protocols, and the [LibreSSL](#) cryptography library. CirQlive strives to adhere to, as well as exceed, industry standards when it comes to matters of security.

## Table of Contents

MEETS Security.....	1
Security of the MEETS platform.....	1
Security when used with a typical education-oriented LMS.....	1
Security of entry via LTI.....	2
Version 1.0 - 1.1.1.....	2
Version 1.3.....	2
Additional information.....	3
Role of the Learning Management System in security.....	3
Security of the connection to the LMS.....	3
Federated Authentication Security.....	3
LDAP.....	3
SAML.....	4
Web Conference Security.....	4
Security of the connection to the Web Conference.....	4
Web-conferencing credential security.....	4
Web-conferencing privilege separation.....	4
MEETS Administration Authentication.....	5
Other Access.....	6
MEETS Environment.....	6
Development Practices.....	7
Training.....	7
MEETS in-house use.....	7
Design and Implementation.....	7
Security Research.....	7
Technology.....	8
Certifications.....	8

## Security of the MEETS platform

MEETS is accessed via [HTTPS](#) and offers [hardened Transport Layer Security v1.2 and v1.3](#) with [forward secrecy](#) and [elliptic curve cryptography](#) with strong [cipher suites](#) and protection against vulnerability inducing [HTTPS interception](#).

These high levels of security are currently fully supported by recent versions of Apple Safari, Google Chrome, Microsoft Edge, Mozilla Firefox, and Opera. Browsers incapable of these high levels of security are denied access.

Various attacks against TLS are mitigated and prevented with TLS compression disabled, [Strict Transport Security](#), Secure Renegotiation, disallowing weak or problematic algorithms, prioritizing strong [authenticated encryption](#) algorithms, and ensuring the servers' security [patches](#) are up to date.

## Security when used with a typical education-oriented LMS

MEETS is usually accessed from a Learning Management System, using [Learning Tools Interoperability \(LTI\)](#).

## Security of entry via LTI

LTI is a pseudo-protocol for [federation](#) of distinct web-based services. LTI works by having an originating service provide a user's web browser some information to pass along to a destination service. The destination service can then review this information to determine where it came from, validate various authentication details, and process the information that is provided.

### Version 1.0 - 1.1.1

LTI 1.0 - 1.1.1 currently mandates that entry is secured by a [shared secret](#) and HMAC-SHA1.

The [Secure Hash Algorithm](#) is [currently considered secure where collisions are not a factor](#), such as with a [Keyed-Hash for Message Authentication](#), as required by LTI. This version of LTI currently does not officially allow for any other form of secure entry. However, MEETS itself accepts entry using the [Secure Hash Algorithm 2](#) via HMAC-SHA224, HMAC-SHA256, HMAC-SHA384, and HMAC-SHA512 as well. If your LMS allows these stronger algorithms, enable the strongest offered.

MEETS uses a [cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generator](#) hardened with [Hedging Deployed Cryptography](#) to generate a new 384-bit secret for each instance. Since HMAC-SHA1 (or later) is used, it counters [preimage](#) and [length extension](#) attacks. The MEETS LTI endpoint is structured to limit varying output, and uses constant time algorithms wherever possible, in order to be hardened against [web-based side channel attacks](#) such as [timing attacks](#) or [differential fault analysis](#) using malicious [POST](#) messages.

### Version 1.3

LTI 1.3 currently mandates that entry is secured by [public key cryptography](#) using plain [Rivest-Shamir-Adleman \(RSA\)](#) and [SHA-256](#) within [JSON Web Tokens \(JWT\)](#).

Plain RSA from [PKCS#1](#) v1.5 has been known to have issues with [padding attacks](#) and other problems. MEETS uses an up to date cryptography implementation designed to overcome these issues. More importantly, MEETS supports the [Probabilistic Signature Scheme \(PSS\)](#) from PKCS#1 v2.1, which was designed as a proper solution to all the problems with the older algorithm. As an alternative to RSA, MEETS also offers the ability to instead use the [Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm \(ECDSA\)](#) using curves approved by the US [National Security Agency](#) or the [Edwards-curve Digital Signature Algorithm \(EdDSA\)](#) using [Curve 25519](#). In addition to SHA-256, MEETS also offers the ability to use the stronger SHA-384 and SHA-512 algorithms. If your LMS allows using stronger algorithms, enable the strongest offered.

When most software is supplied with a private or public key, it will only check to ensure the key is presented in a supported format. MEETS, on the other hand, goes a step further, and ensures that the values inside a supplied public key conform to the basic mathematics required by the algorithm. If the basic math does not work out, MEETS will reject the public key. Please note that keys can have other potential issues, and that MEETS only ensures that there are no math errors which would cause the key to be unable to provide proper basic cryptographic guarantees. If you're worried that the keys from your LMS may have been compromised, or part of a broken keys database, you will need to check for that using the appropriate software, and replace the public key you provided to MEETS. MEETS optionally supports the ability to retrieve new public keys automatically from a supplied URL with appropriate security precautions.

JWT is a large specification where some poor implementations have been known to have serious flaws. MEETS uses a JWT implementation which ensures all public keys meet minimum [key sizes](#) required by the specification, and that messages received make use of a valid non-expired digital signature that was signed with one of the public key algorithms enabled by your administration. Your administration can specify that MEETS only uses a specific pre-shared public key, or only public keys found at a supplied URL. MEETS will also validate that all necessary parameters have been received and are using their appropriate format and correct values, including the issuer, and that the specific MEETS instance receiving the token is the intended audience. MEETS will reject any JWT message which uses an algorithm or key ID which was not enabled by your administration, or using a critical extension that is unrecognized.

## Additional information

The LTI protocol utilizes [nonces](#) to eliminate attacks of the [capture-replay](#) variety, and MEETS enforces nonce uniqueness. MEETS ensures that these nonces were properly validated within the message authentication code or digital signature supplied by the LMS.

Despite the strength of the LTI protocol and the design of MEETS to avoid potential LTI security pitfalls and employ attack countermeasures, security is only as strong as its weakest link. Thus the burden of LTI entry security is upon the Learning Management Systems to provide a secure environment to a user's web browser.

## Role of the Learning Management System in security

In order to ensure the security of an LTI platform, the LMS funneling into it via LTI federation must in turn also be secure. An attack leveled at the LMS could in turn become a security breach into any LTI platform it connects with. LMSs must ensure users are properly authenticated and are who they say they are. The LMS must ensure there is no possibility of [privilege escalation](#).

Of key importance, the LMS must ensure that shared secrets remain secret. It must ensure that it only [digitally signs](#) LTI launch requests that are valid and permissible for the user and context in question, with the correct roles assigned. The LMS must also ensure that it defends against [man in the middle attacks](#), and that [sessions cannot be hijacked](#).

## Security of the connection to the LMS

In order to guarantee that users are using their LMS in the most secure manner possible, the servers hosting them should be using hardened TLS with Strict Transport Security.

If you wish to secure a platform with TLS you will need to:

1. [Purchase a certificate](#) from one of several Certificate Authorities.
  - If you have multiple platforms running on different [subdomains](#), you can [purchase a wildcard certificate](#).
  - If you have multiple primary domains that you need to secure, or you only need to secure a few subdomains and do not require an unlimited amount, [purchase a multi-domain certificate](#).
2. Set up your web server and platform to use HTTPS with your certificate.
3. [Ensure your web server is set up correctly](#).

MEETS by default records then rejects incoming requests that it can determine are via an LMS connection not using HTTPS.

## Federated Authentication Security

When using MEETS as a standalone service with [Federated Authentication](#), or Federated Authentication is enabled in order to protect e-mail subscriptions and guest invites, then MEETS can be accessed using either [Lightweight Directory Access Protocol](#) version 3 (LDAPv3) or [Security Assertion Markup Language 2.0](#) (SAML 2.0).

## LDAP

LDAP access entails allowing MEETS to connect to your organization's LDAP servers, and MEETS will then forward on a users credentials for verification, requesting confirmation that they are correct. MEETS does not store nor log these credentials.

You can configure your LDAP servers to whitelist MEETS' LDAP [IP addresses](#), in order to prevent others from accessing your LDAP servers. To further prevent attacking your LDAP server authentication via MEETS, MEETS by default also requires browsers to perform a [proof-of-work](#) operation, and [throttles](#) user entry. You can also require users to solve a [CAPTCHA](#).

Please see the [MEETS LDAP documentation](#) for more details on these features and how to configure the various available options.

## **SAML**

SAML access uses an Identity Provider which belongs to your organization, to send users bearing secure messages to MEETS, which grant them access. SAML is a secure authentication option when implemented properly with vigorous verification performed on the receiver's end to ensure the validity of the message and reject duplicates.

MEETS performs all verification operations suggested by the SAML specification, as well as an additional slew of verifications that are not yet common practice. MEETS comes out of the box with secure defaults to ensure secure algorithms are used with SAML. Additional options are provided to tweak the allowed algorithms, providing the ability to make the restrictions even stricter. MEETS also provides warnings during the SAML setup process letting administrators know if they're doing something which is potentially unsafe.

All of MEETS' SAML capabilities, options, algorithms, and a thorough description of MEETS's processing rules for message verification is available in the [MEETS SAML documentation](#).

## **Web Conference Security**

MEETS integrates various Web Conferencing services into its platform, by using official APIs offered by each service.

### **Security of the connection to the Web Conference**

In leveraging web-conferencing [Application Programming Interfaces](#), MEETS will only communicate over HTTPS with TLS using a hardened [cipher suite](#). Third party servers have their [certificates](#) and [certificate chain](#) validated.

### **Web-conferencing credential security**

When web-conferencing credentials are entered into MEETS, they are [encrypted](#) using the [Advanced Encryption Standard](#), [leading finalists](#), and stronger more recently developed algorithms. The encryption performed uses two keys, each making use of the largest key size the algorithm in use supports. The first key is randomly generated for each individual client and compiled directly into the MEETS platform. The second key is randomly generated for each individual user, and is stored in a completely separate [database](#) from the encrypted credentials.

The software unit responsible for the encryption and decryption of the credentials is the same unit used to communicate with the web conferencing service, which is separated from the software used by the rest of the MEETS platform. This unit is the only software component allowed to access the database containing the encrypted credentials, and is limited to using the credentials solely with the web-conferencing APIs. If a user needs to access the web-conferencing service from their web-browser, a limited access or [one-time-use](#) token is generated for them, as this software unit is unable to provide the rest of the MEETS platform with any kind of access to the credentials.

An attacker looking to retrieve credentials would need to steal the primary MEETS platform database, the security unit's database which runs on a completely separate database platform, and the software itself, which must be then decompiled in search of the client key.

The MEETS platform uses separate sets of databases for each client. This ensures that there is no unified target to attack, that any optional security settings declined by one client which lower security will not adversely affect other clients who did not agree to lower security levels, and that any attacker wishing to gain access would have to target each individual client separately.

### **Web-conferencing [privilege separation](#)**

Teachers are given limited access or one-time-use tokens in order to be logged into a conferencing system and launch a web-conferencing session. MEETS provides an option which administrators can enable, which will allow

one teacher to substitute for another teacher. Some web-conferencing services may allow that the one logged in for hosting a session is further able to perform other actions with the web-conferencing service beyond session hosting activities.

Therefore, it is strongly advised that for web-conferencing services where applicable, that no teacher account is granted administration privileges. Even if a client only has a single host with their web-conferencing service, several web-conferencing services allow the administration and hosting capabilities be split across two separate users. All supported web-conferencing services that do not offer the aforementioned privilege separation capabilities, thankfully, prevent those logged-in solely for hosting a meeting from performing account-wide activities.

## MEETS Administration Authentication

MEETS Administration authentication comes out of the box with several unique security features to prevent common attacks:

- Passwords are required to conform to the [Stanford Password Policy](#).
  - The top 1 million most common passwords are disallowed.
  - Roughly half a million common words and word forms are disallowed.
- In addition to the usual mechanisms employed to protect passwords, MEETS takes this a step further, and ensures that passwords are never actually sent to MEETS and MEETS never stores the user's password. Instead, a patent-pending algorithm is added on top of the usual [key derivation functions](#) in order to convert the user's password into a [zero-knowledge proof](#). This ensures MEETS never becomes aware of a user's password and cannot inadvertently log it, nor can CirQlive staff monitor and retrieve the user's password. During initial creation of a user, the process provides MEETS with a [public key](#), and there is no known mathematical algorithm which can reverse the public key into the user's initial password. During subsequent log-in, MEETS sends a challenge to the user's browser, and must receive back a [digital signature](#) which can be validated with the previously provided public key.
  - This method uses multiple algorithms on top of each other, and complies with password storage [key stretching](#) and [password hashing](#) requirements from [OWASP](#), [FIPS-140](#), and other specifications.
  - This algorithm also places much more work onto attackers if they want to try to perform a [brute-force attack](#), [credential stuffing attack](#), or something similar.
  - All authentication parameters are signed during the log-in process.
- All entry is throttled. Only 100 attempts per hour can be made trying to log-in as a specific user. If a user's account is being attacked, once the attack has subsided, the user can regain entry after 36 seconds have elapsed.
- A [pseudo-random deterministic response](#) is provided when trying to log-in as a non-existent user in order to not leak information about which user accounts exist.

Administrators can also setup [multi-factor authentication](#). MEETS offers the following features:

- Web Authentication / Hardware Keys ([WebAuthn](#) / [FIDO2](#) / [U2F](#)).
  - Web Authentication can also be configured to be used by itself.
    - Windows Hello and [Apple Touch ID](#) are supported, however if others can gain access to the device storing these keys, it is inadvisable to rely on [biometric](#) authentication or a [PIN](#) by itself as it's possible to [spooof](#) and attack them.
  - Each user can configure multiple keys, as a backup, in case they lose one, or if the key is built into their device, they can still setup multiple devices.
  - These keys use technology similar to the zero-knowledge-proof used for passwords, and MEETS uses the same challenge and digital signature verification concepts for both.
- [Time-based One-Time Password](#) (TOTP).
  - Using 6, 7, 8, or 9 digits.

- Using HMAC-SHA-1, HMAC-SHA-256, or HMAC-SHA-512.
- Using a configurable interval.
- Repeat codes are blocked.
- Requiring 2 or 3 factor authentication.
- Requiring specific factors be used.
- MEETS processes all authentication factors to their fullest using constant-time algorithms without [short-circuit procedures](#), before making the ultimate determination as to whether the user is authenticated or not. This ensures that attackers cannot use [timing-attacks](#) to determine which authentication factors succeeded and which failed.

MEETS also offers an extra log-in step using the strongest level of [HTTP Digest Authentication](#) that browsers currently support. This adds an extra layer of unique verification to each request from the browser, on top of an [access cookie](#). It works with [ephemeral key-agreement](#) between the MEETS server and the user's browser, and is signed during the authentication process. This causes the browser to use a unique counter with every message sent to the MEETS server, and sign every message, which the server then validates. When this feature is enabled, even if some malicious software on an admin's device managed to steal their cookies, it will not be able to use them to access MEETS Administration. This feature can also provide protection against passive HTTPS interception in places where such interception is a concern.

## Other Access

Subsequent accesses to MEETS where a user is known to be authenticated makes use of tokens to prevent [Cross-site request forgeries](#).

Internal communication between different MEETS components makes use of [Authenticated Encryption](#) leveraging [Secure Hash Algorithm 3 \(SHA-3\)](#) finalists along with more recent improvements in the field.

External communication as well as communication between various MEETS components is untrusted until proven otherwise. Input validity and authorization checks are performed at each level as needed. Data is either rejected or sanitized to prevent a variety of breaches and attacks.

Internal databases are only accessed with external variables passed to [parameterized statements](#). Access between other components which leverage programming statements computed at run time makes use of [data escaping](#) of external variables as required.

In short, CirQlive is mindful of the various programming pitfalls and attacks that are commonplace, and strives to remain ahead of the curve in protecting its software, its services, and its users.

## MEETS Environment

MEETS runs in a heavily locked down and limited environment, with the [attack surface](#) limited to what is required for MEETS functionality and its administration and maintenance. Extraneous [network services](#) are not installed, nor are their [ports](#) enabled.

Remote access to the MEETS [servers](#) for administration by CirQlive staff utilizes [multiple layers of protection](#). This includes at least two authentication steps to access any data or perform service level changes. CirQlive staff are not given more access than their role requires.

Countermeasures are employed to prevent unauthorized access, as well as appear invisible to common Internet scans hunting for servers to attack, and foil [brute force](#) and [dictionary](#) attacks.

# Development Practices

## Training

All CirQlive developers are trained in safe design practices, cryptography engineering, and attack countermeasures. Developers are frequently given refresher courses and updated training as security research reveals new insights that require awareness. CirQlive strives to surpass industry standard best-practices and therefore does not limit itself to a single methodology or source of information regarding proper design.

Some of the resources used to train developers are:

- [Bulletproof TLS and PKI](#)
- [Code Complete \(2\)](#)
- [Cryptography Engineering](#)
- [NIST Computer Security Resource Center](#)
- [NSA Information Assurance Directorate](#)
- [Open Web Application Security Project](#)
- [Secure Programming Cookbook](#)
- [Secure Programming HOWTO](#)

Developers are trained to understand the information contained within these resources, to apply their material appropriately, and to weigh the pros and cons of various strategies to arrive at correct designs when conflicts or concerns arise. Additional resources are provided to developers as needed specific to the field they are working in.

## MEETS in-house use

CirQlive uses MEETS to conduct in-house training. This ensures that developers are familiar with it, and that the product is well tested with real world usage. It also consistently provides CirQlive with first-hand insight into improving the product.

## Design and Implementation

As part of designing our systems, CirQlive gathers requirements and performs [threat modeling](#) and [vulnerability analysis](#) upon each component individually and as a whole. Designs which are vulnerable are disregarded, restarting the process of creating a secure design. Upon completion, modification, or integration of components, in-house [white-box penetration testing](#) is performed using a variety of manual and automatic processes in order to validate security requirements. Newly written code and modifications are reviewed and audited by in-house security personnel using [static analysis](#) and human-based analysis to ensure there are no security vulnerabilities. CirQlive does not deploy components or products with known security defects. MEETS uses [The Open Web Application Security Project Application Security Verification Standard \(OWASP ASVS\)](#) as one of its metrics to ensure that it adheres to important industry standards.

## Security Research

CirQlive's security team stays up-to-date with the latest security research, and, in addition to regularly monitoring deployed platforms, performs updated testing and threat assessments any time a new kind of attack is discovered which may be relevant. Components vulnerable to any such newly discovered attacks are typically updated in a timely fashion. Usually, such vulnerabilities require only a minor configuration change to disallow older algorithms or methodologies. In the rare case a newly discovered kind of attack requires restructuring, CirQlive immediately dedicates a team to redesign the affected components and deploy them using our secure development methodology.

Some of the sources CirQlive utilizes for knowledge about new attacks are:

- [Bulletproof TLS Newsletter](#)
- [Debian Security Advisories](#)
- [LWN.net security alerts](#)

- [OpenSSL Vulnerabilities](#)
- [Qualys Security Labs](#)
- [Qualys Web Application Security](#)
- [US-CERT Alerts](#)
- [US-CERT Bulletins](#)

The CirQlive security team also monitors [blogs](#) from various security researchers, and participates in security forums and [IRC](#) channels.

## Technology

MEETS uses software developed by the OpenSSL Project for use in the [OpenSSL Toolkit](#).

## Certifications

The majority of US [data-centers](#) used by CirQlive have obtained [SSAE 16 SOC](#) compliance. If necessary, you can request that CirQlive only place your MEETS account within a US data-center that has obtained SOC2 compliance.

Although MEETS does not perform Credit Card processing, nor collect personal identification (ID numbers, Social Security, etc...), the data-centers used by CirQlive are [PCI DSS](#) (v3.2) compliant. Although MEETS does not directly offer health services, these data-centers are also [HIPAA](#) compliant. Further, the TLS settings described at the beginning of this document meet or exceed the baseline requirements set forth by PCI DSS and HIPAA in these areas.

MEETS regularly receives an A+ security rating from [SSL Labs](#), [Mozilla Observatory](#), [CryptCheck](#) and others. According to [SSL Pulse](#), as of May 2015, only 1.4% of the most popular sites surveyed with TLS deployed achieve an A+ rating. When including all sites within the top one million, including those without TLS deployed, this number drops to less than 0.2%.